Protective role of caffeic acid in an Aβ25-35-induced Alzheimer’s disease model
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BACKGROUND/OBJECTIVES: Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is characterized by deficits in memory and cognitive functions. The accumulation of amyloid beta peptide (Aβ) and oxidative stress in the brain are the most common causes of AD.

MATERIALS/METHODS: Caffeic acid (CA) is an active phenolic compound that has a variety of pharmacological actions. We studied the protective abilities of CA in an Aβ25-35-injected AD mouse model. CA was administered at an oral dose of 10 or 50 mg/kg/day for 2 weeks. Behavioral tests including T-maze, object recognition, and Morris water maze were carried out to assess cognitive abilities. In addition, lipid peroxidation and nitric oxide (NO) production in the brain were measured to investigate the protective effect of CA in oxidative stress.

RESULTS: In the T-maze and object recognition tests, novel route awareness and novel object recognition were improved by oral administration of CA compared with the Aβ25-35-injected control group. These results indicate that administration of CA improved spatial cognitive and memory functions. The Morris water maze test showed that memory function was enhanced by administration of CA. In addition, CA inhibited lipid peroxidation and NO formation in the liver, kidney, and brain compared with the Aβ25-35-injected control group. In particular, CA 50 mg/kg/day showed the stronger protective effect from cognitive impairment than CA 10 mg/kg/day.

CONCLUSIONS: The present results suggest that CA improves Aβ25-35-induced memory deficits and cognitive impairment through inhibition of lipid peroxidation and NO production.
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INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disease associated with aging. AD is characterized by the deterioration of memory, learning, and other cognitive functions due to the progressive loss of neural function [1]. The cause of AD involves the accumulation of amyloid beta peptide (Aβ), oxidative stress, inflammation, hormonal pathways, and several different biochemical pathways [2]. Although the accurate mechanism of the pathogenesis is still not fully elucidated, it is widely accepted that the accumulation of a 39- to 43-amino-acid peptide fragment derived from amyloid precursor protein (APP) is the main factor underlying AD progression [1,3]. The formation of extracellular deposits of Aβ, leading to the formation of neurofibrillary tangles and neuritic plaques in the cortex and hippocampus, is a significant pathological feature of AD [4].

Aβ is known to increase oxidative stress in nerve cells [5]. Although the cellular pathway leading to neuronal degeneration and the mechanism by which it is induced by Aβ are not yet fully understood, it is widely accepted that oxidative stress leads to the progression of AD [6]. Aβ-induced toxicity is influenced by free radicals, which cause lipid peroxidation of nerve cell membranes [7,8]. Increased production of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, such as nitric oxide (NO) and superoxide radical anion, together with the degeneration of antioxidant defenses, was identified in neuronal systems after Aβ treatment [9]. In addition, Aβ-induced cytotoxicity is caused by the intracellular accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), resulting in peroxidation of cell membranes, damage to DNA and RNA, altered proteins, and cell death [10]. Therefore, antioxidants might be advantageous for reducing oxidative stress and delaying AD progression [11]. Many studies have been performed to investigate several natural antioxidants, such as green tea, resveratrol, vitamins, flavonoids, and carotenoids, which might prevent or reduce the progression of AD [12,13].

Caffeic acid (3,4-dihydroxycinnamic acid; CA) is a kind of common phenolic acid, which is abundant in plant products,
such as fruits, vegetables, coffee, and tea [14, 15]. Recent research demonstrated that CA possesses a wide variety of pharmaceutical effects, including anti-inflammatory, anti-cancer, anti-thrombosis, anti-hypertensive, anti-fibrosis, and anti-viral activities [16-19]. In addition, several studies supported the possible neuroprotective potential of CA in Aβ-induced cellular toxicity [20, 21]. Recent study suggests that administration of CA may also help to increase the cognitive function in aluminum chloride (AlCl3)-induced dementia in vivo model [22]. However, investigation of the protective properties of CA in impairments of cognition and memory using an in vivo AD model induced by Aβ has not been performed. Therefore, in the present study, we investigated the protective effect of CA in an Aβ-injected animal model of AD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

Aβ25-35 and CA were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, Missouri, USA). Aβ25-35 was dissolved in saline solution (0.9% NaCl) and administered via intracerebroventricular injection (i.c.v.) to mice. CA was dissolved in water orally at doses of 10 or 50 mg/kg/day using a zonde. Butanol was obtained from Duksan Co. (Gyeonggi-do, Korea), N-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamide was purchased Sigma Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, Missouri, USA), phosphoric acid was purchased from Samchun Pure Chemical Co. Ltd (Gyeonggi-do, Korea), and thiobarbituric acid (TBA) was purchased Acros Organics (New Jersey, USA).

Animals

Male ICR mice (5 weeks old, 25-30 g) were obtained from Orient Inc. (Seongnam, Korea). Animals were maintained on a 12 h light/dark cycle under temperature (20 ± 2°C) and humidity (50 ± 10%) controlled conditions. The mice were housed in plastic cages with free access to water. During experimental period, commercially available mice diet (5L79, Orient, Korea) were provided ad libitum according to Muthaiyah et al. [23] which consists of 20.1% protein, 4.5% fat-ether extract, 5.1% fat-acid hydrates, 4.6% crude fiber, 5.8% ash and 1.4% minerals. The animal protocol used in this study was reviewed and approved (approval number PNU-2014-0540) by the Pusan National University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (PNU-IACUC). Mice were divided into four groups with no significant differences in initial body weight among the groups. Body weight was measured once a week, and food intake was measured every days. Food efficiency ratio (FER) values for 14 days was calculated for each groups according to the following formulas. FER (%) = (weight gain/amount food intake) × 10. The normal and control groups were orally given water, and the other two groups were administered CA-containing water orally at a dose of 10 or 50 mg/kg/day for 14 days using a zonde. The experimental schedule is shown in Fig. 1.

Aβ25-35 infused AD mouse model

Aβ25-35 dissolved in a saline solution (0.9% NaCl) was diluted at a concentration of 25 nM/5 μl and aggregated by incubation at 37°C for 3 days before the injection in accordance with a previous report [24]. Aβ25-35 was administered via i.c.v. according to the procedure established by Laursen and Belknap [25]. Briefly, the mice were injected 0.5 mm posterior to bregma and 1.0 mm lateral to the sagittal suture (5 μl per mouse). All injections were carried out using a 10 μl Hamilton microsyringe (26-gauge needle, 2.2 mm depth). The normal animals were injected with saline solution (0.9% NaCl), and all other groups were injected with Aβ25-35.

T-maze test

The T-maze test was conducted according to the procedure established by Montgomery [26]. A T-shaped maze consisting of a start box, left arm, and right arm (length of start and goal stems 76 cm, width 12 cm, height 20 cm) was constructed. The right arm was provided with a moveable blocking door made of black acrylic. In the training session, the mice were placed in the start box, and then allowed to explore the maze for a 10 min period with the right arm blocked by the moveable door. The mice were then placed back into the same apparatus 24 h after the training session, this time with the blocking door removed, and were allowed to freely explore the left and right arms for 10 min. Space perceptive ability (%) was calculated as the ratio of the number of left or right arm entries to the number of total arm entries multiplied by 100.

Novel object recognition test

The object recognition test was performed in a square open-field apparatus (40 × 40 × 40 cm) painted black in color [27]. Two identical objects (A, A') were placed at fixed distances within the square field. The mice were then placed at the center of the square field, and the number of contacts with each object...
was recorded during a 10 min period (training session). The mice were placed back into the same field 24 h after the training session, and this time one of the objects was replaced with a new object (A, B). The mice were allowed to search freely for 10 min, and the number of contacts with the original and novel object was recorded (test session). Object cognitive ability (%), the ratio of the number of contacts with the original object or the novel object over the total number of contacts with both objects, was used to measure cognitive function.

**Morris water maze test**

The Morris water maze test was conducted according to the procedure established by Morris [28], with slight modification. The experimental apparatus consisted of a pool (100 cm in diameter, 35 cm in height) that was randomly divided into four quadrants, filled with water maintained at 22 ± 1°C and made opaque using water-soluble nontoxic white paint. An invisible escape platform 8 cm in diameter was placed approximately 1 cm below the water surface in the center of one “target” quadrant. The position of the platform was unchanged during the training session. Four posters on the walls of the apparatus provided visual cues for navigation. Three training trials were conducted each day for 3 days. In the training trials, the mice were placed in the pool facing the wall, and then from random starting points were allowed to swim and find the hidden platform. If a mouse could not find the platform within 60 s, it was guided to the platform and allowed to rest on the platform for 15 s, and was then returned to its cage. On the day after the training session was completed, the experiment was performed as before. In the secondary test, the platform was removed and each mouse was allowed to search for the platform for 60 s while their behavior was monitored and the percentage of time spent in the target quadrant was calculated. The position of the platform was unchanged during the training session. Four posters on the walls of the apparatus provided visual cues for navigation. Three training trials were conducted each day for 3 days. In the training trials, the mice were placed in the pool facing the wall, and then from random starting points were allowed to swim and find the hidden platform. If a mouse could not find the platform within 60 s, it was guided to the platform and allowed to rest on the platform for 15 s, and was then returned to its cage. On the day after the training session was completed, the experiment was performed as before. In the secondary test, the platform was removed and each mouse was allowed to search for the platform for 60 s while their behavior was monitored and the percentage of time spent in the target quadrant was calculated. In a final test, the water was made transparent and the amount of time to reach the platform was measured.

**Measurement of lipid peroxidation**

Malondialdehyde (MDA) levels were measured using the method described by Ohkawa [29]. After completion of the behavioral tests, mice were anesthetized using CO₂ gas. The brain, liver, and kidney were removed immediately and placed on ice. The dissected tissue was homogenized with saline solution (0.9% NaCl). This homogenate was mixed with 1% phosphoric acid and 0.67% TBA solution. This solution was cooled on ice after boiling for 45 min, and then 2 ml of butanol solution (0.9% NaCl). This homogenate was mixed with 1% phosphoric acid and 0.67% TBA solution. This solution was cooled on ice after boiling for 45 min, and then 2 ml of butanol solution was added, followed by centrifugation of the mixture at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The absorbance values of the supernatant was measured at 540 nm. The yield of NO production was calculated using the standard curve of sodium nitrite content.

**Nitric oxide (NO) scavenging activity**

The NO concentration in tissues was determined by the method of Schmidt [30]. Briefly, 150 μl of tissue homogenate was mixed with 130 μl of distilled water, and 20 μl of the mixed solution was added to the same amount of phosphoric acid and 0.1% N-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine dihydrochloride solution. The absorbance value of the mixture was then measured at 540 nm. The yield of NO production was calculated using the standard curve of sodium nitrite content.

**Statistical analysis**

All results are expressed as mean ± SD. The statistical significance of experimental results was determined using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Duncan’s multiple tests. T-maze test and novel object recognition data were performed by Student’s t-test for two-condition comparisons. Statistical significance was considered as P < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Changes in body weight, food intake and FER**

The body weight, body weight gain, food intake and FER of the mice are shown in Table 1. The body weight gain of normal, control, CA10 and CA50 groups were 6.10 g, 6.51 g, 5.22 g and 5.74 g, respectively, showing without significant differences among experimental groups. In addition, the food intakes and FER of the experimental groups did not show significant differences. The FER of normal, control, CA10 and CA50 groups were 6.10%, 6.51%, 5.22% and 5.74%, respectively.

**T-maze test**

The T-maze test was performed to determine the effect of CA on spatial perceptual impairment by Aβ25-35 (Fig. 2). The percentage of approach to each route was positively correlated with the spatial cognitive ability of the mice. The Aβ25-35-treated control group showed significantly reduced spatial exploration of the novel route at 43.45%, with the Aβ25-35 non-treated normal group at 54.72%. However, administration of CA at 10 or 50 mg/kg/day (CA10 or CA50) rescued exploration of the novel route to 57.53% and 53.66%, respectively.

**Novel object recognition test**

Results for object recognition in all groups are shown in Fig. 3. There were no differences in exploratory preference among the groups in the training session (A, A'). In the test session with two different objects (A, B) 24 h after training, the Aβ25-35

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Initial weight (g)</th>
<th>Final weight (g)</th>
<th>Weight gain (g)</th>
<th>Food intake (g/day)</th>
<th>Food efficiency ratio (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>26.28 ± 1.57</td>
<td>32.38 ± 2.92</td>
<td>6.10 ± 1.91</td>
<td>3.67 ± 0.24</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>26.21 ± 1.23</td>
<td>32.72 ± 2.49</td>
<td>6.51 ± 2.32</td>
<td>3.85 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA10</td>
<td>25.93 ± 1.13</td>
<td>31.15 ± 2.15</td>
<td>5.22 ± 1.28</td>
<td>3.42 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA50</td>
<td>26.14 ± 0.51</td>
<td>31.89 ± 0.93</td>
<td>5.74 ± 0.81</td>
<td>3.61 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normal = 0.9% NaCl injection + oral administration of water; Control = Aβ25-35 injection + oral administration of water; CA10 = Aβ25-35 injection + oral administration of CA (10 mg/kg/day); CA50 = Aβ25-35 injection + oral administration of CA (50 mg/kg/day). Values are mean ± SD, NS : Non significance.

1) Food efficiency ratio (%): (weight gain/amount food intake) × 10
non-injected group exhibited greater preference for the novel object than the familiar object. Cognitive ability of the normal group toward the novel object was 67.60%, indicating high curiosity for the new object. In contrast, the Aβ25-35-injected control group had a significantly lower object cognitive ability of 47.70%. The CA10 and CA50 groups showed significantly increased object cognitive ability at 59.39% and 63.87%, respectively.

**Morris water maze**

The Morris water maze task was performed to evaluate the effect of CA on spatial learning and memory. As shown in Fig. 4, the Aβ25-35-treated control group had longer latencies to reach the platform than the normal group across training days. On the other hand, the groups administered CA10 or CA50 showed shorter time to reach the hidden platform compared to the control group. Furthermore, in the last day of the experiment, the groups administered CA showed significantly increased time spent in the target quadrant after removal of the platform (Fig. 5). The Aβ25-35 non-treated normal group spent 40.10% of the time in the target quadrant; however, the Aβ25-35-injected control group spent 25.00% of the time in the target quadrant. In addition, the CA10 and CA50 groups also spent a longer amount of time, 38.54% and 36.20% respectively, in the target quadrant than the control group. These results indicate that CA attenuated the memory deficit induced by Aβ25-35 injection. They also indicate that the improvement of memory by CA is not due to increased swimming or visual abilities. In addition, the latency to reach the exposed platform reached a significantly shorter value in the CA10 and CA50 groups compared to the control group.
Fig. 6. Effects of CA on the performance of Aβ25-35 treated mice in finding the hidden (A) and exposed (B) platforms in the Morris water maze test. Normal = 0.9% NaCl injection + oral administration of water; Control = Aβ25-35 injection + oral administration of water; CA10 = Aβ25-35 injection + oral administration of CA (10 mg/kg/day); CA50 = Aβ25-35 injection + oral administration of CA (50 mg/kg/day). Values are mean ± SD. **The different letters represent significant differences (P < 0.05) by Duncan’s multiple range test.

Fig. 7. Effect of CA administration on lipid peroxidation in mouse brain (A), kidney (B), and liver (C). Normal = 0.9% NaCl injection + oral administration of water; Control = Aβ25-35 injection + oral administration of water; CA10 = Aβ25-35 injection + oral administration of CA (10 mg/kg/day); CA50 = Aβ25-35 injection + oral administration of CA (50 mg/kg/day). Values are mean ± SD. **The different letters represent significant differences (P < 0.05) by Duncan’s multiple range test.

Fig. 8. Effect of CA administration on Aβ25-35 induced NO production in mouse brain (A), kidney (B), and liver (C). Normal = 0.9% NaCl injection + oral administration of water; Control = Aβ25-35 injection + oral administration of water; CA10 = Aβ25-35 injection + oral administration of CA (10 mg/kg/day); CA50 = Aβ25-35 injection + oral administration of CA (50 mg/kg/day). Values are mean ± SD. **The different letters represent significant differences (P < 0.05) by Duncan’s multiple range test.

was not significantly different among the experimental groups (Fig. 6).

Measurement of lipid peroxidation

Fig. 7 shows the effect of CA on lipid peroxidation due to oxidative stress in organs caused by Aβ25-35. The MDA level of the normal group in the brain was 58.58 nmol/mg protein, whereas that of the control group was increased to 72.33 nmol/mg protein. However, the administration of CA10 and CA50 decreased the value of MDA to 65.04 and 53.58 nmol/mg protein, respectively. In addition, the MDA level in the kidney of the normal group was 36.53 nmol/mg protein, while the control group increased to 68.72 nmol/mg protein. On the other hand, the groups administered CA10 and CA50 showed MDA levels of 52.23 and 44.14 nmol/mg protein, respectively. Lipid peroxidation in the liver caused by Aβ25-35 was also significantly inhibited by the administration of CA10 and CA50. These results indicate a protective effect of CA against lipid peroxidation in the brain, kidney, and liver induced by Aβ25-35.
NO scavenging activity
CA-induced inhibition of NO formation in the brain, kidney, and liver of mice injected with Aβ25-35 is shown in Fig. 8. NO production in the brain of the normal group was 1.89 μmol/l/mg protein, whereas in the control group injected with Aβ25-35 it was increased to 4.23 μmol/l/mg protein. However, NO production was decreased to 3.14 and 3.63 μmol/l/mg protein by administration of CA10 and CA50, respectively. In addition, NO production in the control group was increased from 9.92 μmol/l/mg protein to 17.76 μmol/l/mg protein in the kidney, and 12.61 to 28.14 μmol/l/mg protein in the liver. These results show that Aβ25-35 injection elevated NO production in organs. However, administration of CA reduced the level of NO production. Therefore, we confirmed the inhibitory effect of orally administered CA on NO formation in the brain, kidney, and liver of Aβ25-35-induced AD mice.

DISCUSSION
AD is a progressive neurodegenerative disease and one of the most common age-related diseases [31]. The increased proteolytic degradation of APP, aggregation and deposition of Aβ are considered characteristic pathologies in the development and progression of AD [1]. Particularly, Aβ25-35 is the core toxic fragment of full-length Aβ peptide. Several studies have demonstrated that Aβ25-35, in the form of insoluble fibril deposits, is not only a primary cause of neuronal loss, inflammation, oxidative stress, cognitive and memory impairment, but also an important component of senile plaques in AD patients [32,33]. In addition to, Aβ25-35 is relatively easier for the peptide to permeate through the cell membrane, due to smaller size and its toxicity is similar with the Aβ1-40 and Aβ1-42 [34]. Previously, it was also observed that i.c.v. injection of Aβ25-35 in mice causes memory deficits [32]. Thus, Aβ25-35 protein is one of the key pathological hallmarks of AD.

When the balance of pro-oxidant and anti-oxidant activities in the body is not correct, production of excess ROS leads to oxidative stress [35]. ROS are necessary for normal cellular functions, such as synaptic plasticity and signaling, but can become harmful to neuronal function through neuroinflammation when they accumulate in the brain [36]. The brains of AD patients are under extensive oxidative stress, and previous studies have demonstrated that Aβ leads to free radical-induced oxidative stress [10]. In addition, the production of ROS, as well as the progression of AD, is related to oxidative factors such as lipid and protein peroxidation, superoxide, and NO [1,10]. Therefore, antioxidants could protect against Aβ-induced toxicity in AD [37].

Polyphenols may possess protective effects in age-related diseases because they are direct scavengers of free radicals, and are therefore potent antioxidants [38]. Furthermore, previous studies have reported that polyphenols inhibit the formation of Aβ fibrils, bind directly to Aβ, and bind maturing aggregates and impaired their stability [39,40]. CA is a kind of common phenolic acid, and is a known antioxidant [14]. In addition, the protective effects of CA against Aβ25-35-induced neurotoxicity and acrolein-related neurodegenerative disease have been reported [22,41]. Furthermore, the neuroprotective effects of CA against Aβ in cellular systems have also been reported [42]. However, no study has investigated whether CA protects against Aβ-induced AD using an in vivo system. In the present study, CA was administered to Aβ25-35-induced AD mice in order to confirm the protective effect of CA in an in vivo AD model.

During experimental periods, no significant change in body weight was observed among groups and confirmed that no significant difference in food intake for each groups. Therefore, dietary intake and body weight did not affect the effect of CA on cognitive function in AD model. To evaluate the effect of CA on short-term spatial learning and memory, the T-maze task was carried out. Learning and memory were significantly impaired in mice injected with Aβ25-35, whereas the groups administered CA showed higher cognitive recognition towards the novel route. In addition, in the novel object recognition task, percentages of novel object recognition were higher in groups administered CA than in the control group. The novel object recognition task is based on the spontaneous, natural exploratory behavior of mice to non-aversive stimuli and is a pure working memory test free of confounds [43]. In these two behavioral tests, Aβ25-35 induced a marked decline in cognitive performance. However, CA improved the cognitive deficit induced by Aβ25-35.

The Morris water maze test examines the long-term spatial memory of mice, compared to the T-maze and novel object recognition tasks. The normal group showed rapidly decreasing escape latencies to find the location of hidden platform across trials, whereas the Aβ25-35-treated group did not. These results indicate that the injection of Aβ25-35 led to a learning and memory impairment. Compared with control group, the CA administered groups showed shorter times of learning to reach the escape platform in the water maze. On the other hand, the time to reach the exposed platform was not significantly different among the experimental groups. This indicates that the protective effect of CA is not involved in swimming or visual abilities, but it is associated with the improvement of cognitive and memory functions.

The ultimate pathology of AD is focused within the brain. But several studies indicated that Aβ was generated outside of central nervous system and other non-neural tissues including heart, liver, kidney and pancreas etc. also contribute to circulating Aβ. Especially, liver is capable of capturing up to 90% of the circulating Aβ peptides and kidney is eliminated comparatively smaller amount of Aβ then secreted in the urine [44-46]. In particular, Aβ induces oxidative stress [10], which is supported by lipid peroxidation and peroxynitration [7,9]. Aβ also contributes to synaptic dysfunction and neuronal death, and therefore cognitive impairments [44]. Lipid peroxidation is determined by a variety of biomarkers, including MDA and 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal in AD brains [47]. Particularly, MDA is an end product of lipid peroxidation and widely represents an important marker for lipid peroxidation in the AD model. Previous studies have demonstrated that Aβ significantly increases MDA levels in vitro and in vivo [48]. In our previous study, Aβ25-35-induced AD mouse increased oxidative stress by the over-production of MDA and NO in brain, kidney and liver [49-51]. However, we also confirmed that oxidative damage under AD was attenuated by the natural compounds in Tartary.
Reducing the levels of MDA and NO in tissues. Improve learning and memory deficits induced by Aβ by increased levels of MDA and NO. However, CA might have a protective role from oxidative stress by inhibition of lipid peroxidation. The neuroprotective effect of CA using behavioral tests, and its protective role from oxidative stress in an AD mouse model. The present study demonstrates that long-term administration of ferulic acid, a kind of phenolic compounds, exerted stronger dosedependent effect against cognitive dysfunction than short term administration. Although 2 weeks administration of CA did not show the dose-dependent improving effect against impaired cognitive function, dose-response protective effect of CA would be expected under long-term administration.

In conclusion, we confirmed that oral administration of CA at 10 and 50 mg/kg/day protected against Aβ and oxidative stress in an AD mouse model. The present study demonstrates the neuroprotective effect of CA using behavioral tests, and its protective role from oxidative stress by inhibition of lipid oxidation and NO production. Therefore, CA could play a protective role in AD, resulting in improvements in memory and learning by protection from oxidative stress. We suggest that CA improves Aβ-induced memory deficits and cognition impairment, and could be useful in the prevention and treatment of AD.
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